



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,888	12/20/2005	Austin R. Baer	E6024-0038	1131
67812	7590	02/15/2008	EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS, LLP			MAH, CHUCK Y	
968 POSTAL ROAD, SUITE 110				
P.O. BOX 90400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLENTOWN, PA 18109-0400			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,888	BAER, AUSTIN R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuck Mah	3677	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chuck Mah. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. Frank J. Spanitz. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,12,25,47 and 53.

Identification of prior art discussed: McKinney (1,097,458).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Informal amendment was discussed. Applicant pointed out the difference between the claims and McKinney, that the invention is a continuous hige, the mounting surface is offset to the base, the reinforcement is in the cavity and extending outwards. Examiner agreed that some of the structures as claimed may overcome the 102 rejection. Examiner would further consider the formal amendment in view of the 103 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chuck Mah/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required